

**Sri . K. PUTTASWAMY.**—The question is “whether it has come to their notice that in spite of the Ordinance protecting tenants in Jodi Inams some joididars are alienating their lands and evicting tenants illegally. I want to know the definite answer of Government to this question.

**Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.**—I suppose the answer is clear. We have received some complaints from tenants that joididars are evicting tenants in spite of the enactment prohibiting in

**Sri . K. PUTTASWAMY.**—The question is not about complaints. The question is whether there are evictions or not.

**Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.**—Yes. The answer implies that Government is aware of certain facts and that there are certain cases in which the joididars took the law into their own hands and evicted tenants.

**Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.**—Is it a fact that a majority of the joididars have not submitted returns as required under rule 6 of the Land Revenue Regulations?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The question is not relevant.

### Appointment of High Court Judges.

\*Q.—83. **Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU** (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have submitted a panel of names to the Government of India for appointment as High Court Judges;

(b) whether they have requested the Government of India to increase the present strength of permanent Judges to ten?

**A.—Sri B. D. JATTI** (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No.

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Answer as printed, with this clarification. The answer to (a) is ‘Yes’. It pertains to the appointment of an Additional High Court Judge.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—May I draw the attention of the Government to Article 216 of the Constitution which says that “the

Judges so appointed shall at no time exceed in number such maximum number as the President may, from time to time, by order fix in relation to that Court”? Has the High Court or the Government of India fixed the number of High Court Judges so far as Mysore State is concerned?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—The number fixed at present is 7 Permanent Judges and 3 Additional Judges. An increase in number is felt to be necessary. The question is under correspondence.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—May I know the principles adopted with regard to the panel of names sent by this Government? I am not questioning about the number or the names but what is the basis for choosing High Court Judges?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I would like to submit that this is a matter which is under correspondence between one Government and another. After all when submitting the panel of names Government must have reported to the Centre the principles they have adopted in submitting the names.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—The principles or policies involved in selections are not matters which are secret, even though they may be under correspondence. It is a matter on which we have a voice to decide.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—While submitting the names, Government has to say why it is submitting these names. In my opinion since it is a matter under correspondence, the House should not discuss it at this stage.

**Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.**—My respectful submission is that the matter raised here is not as to whether the Government of India would accept the policy adumbrated here. But can the Government keep the principles involved in selection as a secret?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Yes, when that policy forms a part of the correspondence that is going on now between two Governments and I am of opinion that a reference here would not be quite relevant at this stage. After the panel is accepted by the Government of India Hon’ble Members may put any question and of course it would then become relevant.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—May I know the names of members of the panel?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also under correspondence.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—What is the future policy of the Government in regard to making recommendations of appointment of High Court Judges?

Mr. SPEAKER.—When we do not know the present policy, what is the use of trying to know the future policy?

Sri T. PARTHASARATHY.—Are there any ladies included in the panel?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also under correspondence (*Laughter*).

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Since the system of panel of names may bring in judges from other States to the Mysore State, will the Government see that people from the Mysore State are equally afforded chances of being appointed in the High Courts of other States.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The question of sending the panel itself is under consideration. All the States have not come to agreed grounds. The matter has to be finally decided.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—In practice it may so work out that advocates from other States may be appointed in the High Court here and advocates from here may not necessarily be appointed in other High Courts. Would the rights or chances of the advocates of Mysore State or people qualified for appointment to the High Court, be safeguarded?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Mysore Government would of course try to safeguard the interests of advocates practising here and also the district judges who are working here. Ultimately everything depends upon the Union Home Minister and the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—In view of the fact that one of the Judges of the Mysore High Court is a Bengalee, has the Government taken steps to appoint a Mysorean to the Calcutta High Court? (*Laughter*)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why mere Calcutta High Court? Any place outside Mysore.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am asking whether Government have taken steps in this direction.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That question has not arisen so far.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Has Government come to the conclusion that there should not be more than ten permanent Judges for this High Court and if so on what basis?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Government has not come to such a conclusion. The present strength is ten. Out of ten seven are permanent and three are additional. The view of the Government is that the number should be increased to ten permanent Judges and two additional judges. After some time when arrears are cleared, the permanent number may be ten.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—While sending the panel; has the P.S.C. to be consulted?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—No, Sir.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—Is proper representation given in respect of Bench also while recommending names?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Generally the names of advocates and also names of District Judges are recommended and it is left to the Union Home Minister to select persons in consultation with the Chief Justice of Supreme Court.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHEED.—In the panel, how many are recruited directly and how many are promoted?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The matter is said to be under correspondence.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question Nos. 102 and 103 may be taken up together.

### **Constitution of a Committee to go into the Affairs of Bangalore Race Club.**

\*Q.—102. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether in view of the strong public feeling against the present management of the Bangalore Race Club, they propose to set up a committee to go into the affairs of the Bangalore Race Club to make suitable recommendations and put it on a proper footing in keeping with its pre-eminent position in the racing world in India?